

**DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE, PASCO COUNTY, FLORIDA**

**MINUTES**

**THE MINUTES WERE PREPARED  
IN AGENDA ORDER AS  
PUBLISHED AND NOT IN THE  
ORDER THE ITEMS WERE HEARD**

**JUNE 12, 2008**

**1:30 P.M.**

**1:30 p.m. – Historic Pasco County Courthouse, Board Room, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
37918 Meridian Avenue, Dade City, FL 33525**

---

**DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE**

John J. Gallagher  
County Administrator  
Michael Nurrenbrock  
OMB Director  
Daniel R. Johnson  
Assistant County Administrator  
(Public Services)  
Bruce E. Kennedy, P.E.  
Assistant County Administrator  
(Utilities Services)  
Bipin Parikh, P.E.  
Assistant County Administrator  
(Development Services)  
Chris Williams  
District School Board of Pasco County

**ADVISORY STAFF**

Cynthia M. Jolly, P.E.  
Development Director  
Samuel P. Steffey  
Growth Management Administrator  
James C. Widman  
Engineering Services Director  
Debra M. Zampetti  
Zoning/Code Compliance  
Administrator  
Representative of the Clerk  
of the Circuit Court  
Michele Baker  
Chief Assistant County Administrator

**LEGAL COUNSEL**

David A. Goldstein,  
Senior Assistant County Attorney

---

**I. ROLL CALL**

Ms. Donalee Schmidt, Deputy Clerk, called the roll. All Members were present.

Ms. Schmidt swore in everyone who planned to present testimony.

## MINUTES

- M1 May 24, 2007 DRC Minutes – DRC – DRC Minutes for Approval  
Memorandum DR08-1467  
Recommendation: Approve**
- M2 October 11, 2007 DRC Minutes – DRC – DRC Minutes for Approval  
Memorandum DR08-1468  
Recommendation: Approve**

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** approval of the Minutes of May 24, 2007 and October 11, 2007.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

## PUBLIC HEARINGS

### VARIANCE PETITIONS ENGINEERING SERVICES – PROJECT MANAGEMENT

- P1 Sunburst Shutters (VAC08-379), Variance Request – Ten Sails, LLC –  
Located: On the northwest corner of Gunn Highway and Interlaken Drive,  
Section 27, Twn 26 S, Rng 17 E  
Memorandum PMA08-156  
Recommendation: Approval of Variance**

Mr. Paul Montante explained the item. Staff recommended approval.

Mr. Mark Stevenson, representative, spoke regarding the item.

Discussion followed regarding typical sections; 4-lane urban cross-sections; sidewalks and bike paths.

There was no public comment.

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** approval of Staff's recommendation.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

## **ADDENDUM**

**C.R. 54/I-75 Commercial (VAC07-327)**

**Memorandum PMA08-157**

**Recommendation: Continue to the June 26, 2008, 1:30 p.m., Development Review Committee Meeting in New Port Richey**

Ms. Cindy Jolly recommended the item be continued to June 26, 2008, at 1:30 p.m. in New Port Richey.

The applicant was not present.

There was no public comment.

Discussion followed regarding the continuance date.

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** to continue the item to June 26, 2008, at 1:30 p.m. in New Port Richey.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

## **DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES – DEVELOPMENT REVIEW**

**P2 Class III, St. Joe Oaks (SDU06-126), Preliminary/Construction Plan, Stormwater Management Plan and Report, and Variance Requests – K and G Holdings, LLC – Location: On the west side of Sherrod Croft Lane, approximately 1,000 feet south of St. Joe Road; Section 28, Twn 24 S, Rng 20 E**  
**Memorandum DR08-1422**  
**Recommendation: Approval with conditions**

Ms. Cindy Jolly explained the item, described the surrounding area, and spoke regarding the requested variances. Staff recommended approval with conditions.

Mr. Ali Chasti, representative, agreed with Staff's recommendation.

Discussion followed regarding the setback requirements; landscaping requirements for rural communities; sound walls; the need to block noise from I-75; the proportionate share amount to elevate and improve the road to County standards; requirements of other projects in the area; and the paving requirements.

Chairman Gallagher requested a condition be included to add sound proofing mechanisms for the two lots located closest to the interstate.

There was no public comment.

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** approval of Staff's recommendation including an additional condition regarding sound proofing for the two homes closest to I-75.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

**P3 Class IIIU Trilby Estates Subdivision (SDU07-066), Preliminary/Construction Plan and Stormwater Management Plan and Report with Variance Request and Alternative Standard Request – Christian Powerline, LLC; Powerline North, LLC; and Powerline, LLC – Location: On the southwest corner of the intersection of U.S. 301 and Christian Road, west to Powerline Road; Section 03, Twn 24 S, Rng 21 E  
Memorandum DR08-1423  
Recommendation: Continuance Requested**

Ms. Cindy Jolly recommended the item be continued to July 24, 2008, at 1:30 p.m. in Dade City.

Mr. Bob Sprinkle, representative, agreed with the continuance.

There was no public comment.

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** to continue the item to July 24, 2008, at 1:30 p.m. Dade City.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

**P4 Class III, Mayfair Townhomes (SDU07-054), Variance Request – Trouble Creek Development Corp. – Location: On the north side of Trouble Creek Road, approximately 1,120 feet west of Madison Street; Section 17, Twn 26 S, Rng 16 E  
Memorandum DR08-1502  
Recommendation: Withdrawn**

The item was withdrawn; no action was taken.

**P5 Class IIIU – The Oaks Subdivision (SDU07-058), Preliminary/Construction Plan and Stormwater Management Plan and Report, and Variance Requests – Seybold and Galvin Pasco County Land Trust – Location: On the west side of East Road, approximately one mile south of County Line Road; Section 09, Twn 24 S, Rng 17 E  
Memorandum DR08-1515  
Recommendation: Approval with conditions**

Ms. Cindy Jolly explained the item and spoke regarding the requested variances. Staff recommended approval of both variance requests and the project.

Mr. Wayne White, representative, agreed with Staff's recommendation.

Discussion followed regarding the interconnections to the site; the amount of inches of trees being removed; and the location of the neighborhood park.

There was no public comment.

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** approval of Staff's recommendation.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

**NON-VARIANCE PETITIONS**  
**ZONING/CODE COMPLIANCE**

**P6 Zoning Petition – Mark and Bibi Moore; Ridan Industries, LLC; and Break Free Wireless, LLC/Morris Bridge Ridan Site, No. FL-1154 – Conditional Use for a 180-foot, above ground level, monopole Personal Wireless Service Facility in an A-R. Location: On the east side of Upper Road, approximately 1,650 feet north of Mandrake Road.  
Memorandum ZN08-CU26A  
Recommendation: Approval with conditions**

Ms. Cindy Jolly recommended the item be continued to July 10, 2008, at 1:30 p.m. in New Port Richey.

Mr. Mark Moore, applicant, agreed with the continuance.

There was no public comment.

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** to continue the item to July 10, 2008, at 1:30 p.m. in New Port Richey.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

## **DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES – DEVELOPMENT REVIEW**

### **CONSENT AGENDA**

Ms. Jolly listed the Consent Agenda.

**P7 Southport Springs** – Pulled from Consent Agenda  
**P8 Park at Wellington Apartments** – Pulled from Consent Agenda  
**P9 Gulfside Hospice at Zephyrhills**  
**R1 Wesley Chapel Outback Plaza**

**MR. PARIKH AND MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** that items P7 Southport Springs and P8 Park at Wellington Apartments be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** approval of the remainder of the Consent Agenda.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

**P7 CONSENT – Class IIIU (SD07-043) Southport Springs II Preliminary/ Construction Plan and Stormwater Management Plan and Report Amendment – Southport Springs II, LP – Location: On the north side of Chancey Road, approximately three-fifths mile east of Morris Bridge Road, Section 20, Twn 26 S, Rng 21 E  
Memorandum DR08-1434  
Recommendation: Approve**

The item was pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Ms. Cindy Jolly explained the item. The applicant requested Condition 2.i. which required the applicant to revise the plan illustrating an eastbound, left turn lane into the project be deleted.

Mr. Parikh spoke regarding the speeds along Chancey Road. He did not object to the removal of the left turn, but wanted to be sure no one could make a left turn from that entrance. He also wanted to ensure the existing entrance to the west could accommodate the additional 120 units.

Chairman Gallagher asked what hardship the condition imposed.

Ms. Jolly explained the initial study did not recommend the left hand turn lane, it was a comment that was placed into the item. She did not believe they had considered the actual speeds being driven on the roadway.

Mr. Josh Bradley, representative, explained the design speed was 51.6 miles per hour at the site, but was posted at 45 mph. They understood the speeds were between 50 and 55 mph. He spoke regarding the Access Management Code table design speeds and found a left hand turn lane was not required. He spoke further regarding the left hand turn lane requirements.

Discussion followed regarding entrances to the site; an emergency connection to the existing Southport Springs; safety concerns; traffic volumes; the project was a retirement community; and speeding along the roadway.

Mr. Montante noted the County Engineer, at his discretion, was allowed to include requirements for safety purposes whether or not they were included on the charts. Staff had insisted on the right turn lane. He noted there was a right-of-way issue which might not allow a left turn lane.

There was no public comment.

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** approval of Staff's recommendation.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

**P8 CONSENT – Class II, Park at Wellington Apartments (IIPR07-066), Preliminary/Construction Site Plan – Holiday Park Land LLC – Location: Approximately 300 feet west of U.S. 19 and approximately 180 feet north of Sunray Drive, Section 30, Twn 26 S, Rng 16 E  
Memorandum DR08-1450  
Recommendation: Approval with conditions**

The item was pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Ms. Cindy Jolly distributed memorandum DV08-144, Park at Wellington Apartments.

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** to receive and file the memorandum.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

Ms. Jolly explained the preliminary/construction plan included in the packet would allow the applicant to begin construction on the site. She noted after the project had been scheduled for the DRC Meeting, Staff was informed there were area residents who requested the item be heard on the west side. The applicant had applied for aid to construct affordable housing apartments with a deadline of June 16, 2008. Staff was fully prepared to go ahead with the preliminary plan and recommend approval; however the residents wanted to be heard. Staff wished to continue the preliminary/construction plan to the next DRC Meeting in New Port Richey to address the residents concerns, and today to accept the conceptual site plan. This would confirm the zoning and that the buildings shown on the site plan did meet the zoning code, parking requirements and the neighborhood park. She recommended the DRC approve the conceptual site plan for the Park at Wellington Apartments as distributed in the memorandum and to continue the preliminary/construction plan to June 26, 2008, at 1:30 p.m. in New Port Richey. She explained the project and described the surrounding area.

Mr. Robert Williams, representative, agreed with Staff's recommendation to allow conceptual plan approval only and noted they were fully prepared to address all other issues. The applicant understood that if they received conceptual plan approval today, that they were not guaranteed to receive construction plan approval in two weeks and would be subject to the full review of the DRC.

Discussion followed regarding the possible installation of sidewalks along Sunray Boulevard; interconnections to the site; emergency connections; and architectural issues.

Mr. Robert Williams explained he would provide drawings at the time of site plan construction.

A gentleman spoke regarding traffic concerns.

**MR. PARIKH MOVED** approval of Staff's recommendation for conceptual site plan approval only.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** to continue the preliminary/construction plan to June 26, 2008, at 1:30 p.m. in New Port Richey.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

- P9 CONSENT – Class II, Gulfside Hospice at Zephyrhills (IIPR08-008), Preliminary/Construction Site Plan and Stormwater Management Plan and Report – Gulfside Regional Hospice, Inc. – Location: On the east side of Dean Dairy Road and south of Eiland Boulevard, Section 09, Twn 26 S, Rng 21 E**  
**Memorandum DR08-1453**  
**Recommendation: Approval with conditions.**

The item was approved as part of the Consent Agenda.

- P10 Class III U, Triple J Ranch Subdivision (SDU08-006), Preliminary/Construction Plan and Stormwater Management Plan and Report with Alternative Standard Request – AG/PD Triple J Ranch, LLC – Location: On the south side of U.S. 98, approximately one-quarter mile west of Old Lakeland Highway; Sections 13, 19, and 24, Twn 25 S, Rng 21 and 22 E**  
**Memorandum DR08-1424**  
**Recommendation: Withdraw**

The item was withdrawn; no action was taken.

- P11 Class II, US 41 and Morgan Road Charter School (IIPR07-072), Preliminary/Construction Plan and Stormwater Management Plan and Report – Morgan Road Park of Commerce, LLC – Location: On the north side of Morgan Road, approximately 260 feet west of U.S. 41; Section 25, Twn 26 S, Rng 18 E**  
**Memorandum DR08-1500**  
**Recommendation: Approval with conditions**

Ms. Cindy Jolly explained the item. Staff recommended approval of the project, however, there would be a cap of 480 students and if the number of students exceeded 480 a new or revised traffic impact study and access management application would be required. She continued with the presentation and extensively reviewed the required

improvements for the project. Staff recommended the road improvements be done prior to the issuance of the building permit. In addition, prior to C-O the proportionate share for the installation of a railroad crossing gate would be contributed by the developer.

Mr. Tim Hayes, representative, updated the Committee regarding actions that had been taken since the last meeting. He spoke regarding meetings held with Staff and FDOT to address the traffic impact study and access management study. He noted conditions 2.C., 2.D., and 2. E. were “killer” conditions for the applicant. He questioned the requirement for completion of any site specific condition listed which required completion prior to the issuance of the site development permit.

Ms. Jolly explained the condition required the applicant to have the ability to construct the improvements requested by Staff and that the permits or agreements from CSX and DOT be obtained prior to breaking ground on the site.

Mr. Hayes spoke further regarding the requirements, specifically similar situations with other projects involving three parties. The improvements were needed for the Charter School. He noted the applicant wanted the improvements completed and was willing to pay for the improvements, but explained they could not control CSX or FDOT. The applicant could not move forward with the project with all of the unknown variables from FDOT and CSX, but were prepared to post a bond or Letter of Credit up to \$500,000.00 for the improvements. The applicant was at the mercy of FDOT and CSX as to how quickly the improvements would be completed, but wished to move forward with site development and the construction of the property. The applicant was willing to pay for a Sheriff's Deputy to be present at the peak hours of operation of the school to allow for a smooth traffic flow until the details could be worked out. The applicant felt a traffic light was needed on Morgan Road, but that was a decision of FDOT. He requested the Committee consider the removal of those conditions with the understanding the applicant would post either a bond or a Letter of Credit in the amount of \$500,000.00 to cover the cost of those improvements and that they would move forward to coordinate with FDOT and CSX to work out the conditions.

Discussion continued between the applicant, the DRC Members and Staff regarding the traffic light; railroad crossing; installation of the turn lanes; the requirements of Condition 18F; peak hour traffic; on-site stacking; student busing; and traffic circulation on the site.

A traffic engineer for the applicant spoke regarding staggered hours; two buses making two trips; there would be a two hour peak time; two-thirds of the school would be elementary students; siblings attending the school; and a percentage of the students would be carpooling.

Mr. Hayes felt a unique feature of this school was that it was part of a chain. They had existing schools in operation and had statistical data.

Chairman Gallagher asked if Staff had looked at the schools that were already constructed.

Ms. Jolly stated they had not reviewed the other schools.

Mr. Hayes spoke further and explained this was a Charter School and if the parents could not access the site safely, they would not take the students to that school. There would be 36 classrooms contained within the building. He noted this would be the largest Charter School in Pasco County.

Discussion continued that students would be coming from Pasco, Hernando and Hillsborough County; the applicant did not have the ability to restrict enrollment to Pasco County students only; the percentage of students carpooling; future use of the site; the zoning approved was a conditional use specified as a Charter School; the proposed future build-out was for 700 students and 25,000 square feet of medical office; and Staff capped the number of students at 480.

Mr. Andre Carello explained they were currently working on a school in Sarasota County. The building was the exact same building that would be constructed on 41.

Mr. Ryan Rainer explained there were 51 Imagine Schools throughout the nation. He explained before and after school the Staff came out and organized the pick up and drop off points for all of the students. There was no spill over at any of the locations because of the access management.

Chairman Gallagher said he understood the applicant wished to open in the next school year, but wanted Staff to have an opportunity to contact some of the places where the schools were located and determine whether the assumptions used in the traffic plan worked.

Mr. Goldstein noted the Planning Commission had approved a temporary location for the school.

Mr. Hayes explained the applicant had submitted their access management and traffic impact study to the County. At the request of Staff, they had included not only the 480 students, but the 700 students so they could review the whole impact.

Chairman Gallagher stated Staff had indicated the proposed improvements were for 480 students.

Ms. Jolly explained the applicant chose to cap themselves at 480 students during the rezoning so they did not have to complete a full TIS.

Chairman Gallagher said the applicant indicated they had submitted a transportation study for the full build out.

Ms. Jolly said for access management they included the full build out. This had not been reviewed; Staff received the information on Friday and had to write a recommendation on Monday. Staff had not received the information in time to allow the

experts to review the TIS. Anything over 480 students would trigger a full-blown transportation impact study.

Mr. Goldstein said no one had reviewed the request from a capacity standpoint; they had only reviewed the access management.

Discussion followed regarding Hunt Road; stacking on-site; traffic coming out of Morgan Road; Hunt Road was an alternative they needed to look at; possible conflicts with the train schedule; the location of the playground; the building would be designed to handle the 728 students; and the vaulted retention pond on-site.

Ms. Susan VanHuse, FDOT, spoke regarding the updated traffic study and had completed a preliminary review. She explained from the counts they would also look at a traffic signal for safety reasons but noted warrants needed to be submitted in order to complete a more thorough analysis. She explained more time was needed to review the information. They had also determined a signal would be necessary at the railroad crossing. She spoke regarding an office park located across the street from the subject property.

Discussion followed regarding the time necessary to determine whether the project met the warrants.

Ms. Jolly explained Staff required the installation of the traffic light because of traffic movement. The report showed that Morgan Road had already failed and would continue to fail with the traffic stacking. The only way to move people off of Morgan Road was with a controlled light. It would not meet warrants with 480 students.

Mr. Parikh explained there was a special warrant for school traffic.

Mr. Hayes stated the applicant was willing to post the bond for the light if it was warranted.

Ms. Jolly indicated Staff had written documentation which showed the applicant was only willing to pay a proportionate share because the estimated improvements were estimated to cost one million dollars or more.

Discussion continued regarding the traffic requirements; other schools with traffic lights that functioned during peak school hours; cost sharing by other developments in the area; the 480 student cap; the TIS was not done to evaluate the off-site impact for proportionate share and impacts; and typical bus runs were 40 to 45 minutes.

Chairman Gallagher felt the item should be continued to allow Staff to contact schools that were opened.

Ms. VanHuse explained the applicant had preliminary approval from the maintenance office for access. She noted they had approved access by just looking at the site on Morgan Road and they were unaware of the other required improvements regarding 41.

Mr. Douglas McDowell, Mr. Clay Colson and Mr. Dave Raybon spoke under public comment. Items discussed included the residents were not opposed to the school but were concerned with traffic issues; the proposed school was too close to 41; the Lutz elementary school was a different situation; gridlock at the intersection; no alternate access; Hunt Road was inadequate; there was no room for a turn lane; the property was too small to accommodate internal traffic congestion; there was no opposition to a Charter School in Pasco County; people were opposed to the location; the traffic signal would also be dependent upon CSX; even if the starting time was staggered, the students would all still come at the same time; convenience to the parents; school buses stopped before crossing a railroad; there was not enough room for stacking lanes; the improvements were needed before the school opened; other buildings proposed on the site; this location would not work; they would not be able to address the problems this project would bring to the area; at some point there would be something at this location; the need for Staff to have an opportunity to properly review the traffic issues; and the residents of Sunset Lakes would like to see a school at that location, but wanted to be able to get in and out of their neighborhood.

Mr. Hayes felt there were solutions to the problems and spoke regarding schools in the area also fronting on U.S. 41; the approved Wal-Mart development; the staggered school opening; everyone wanted the school; the school would represent a 27 million dollar savings to the taxpayers by having a charter school of this size; this was a unique site with unique problems; and the school had to operate safely and efficiently. The applicant was at the "break level" and had temporarily gone to another site with a lease for one year. He spoke further regarding CSX and Hunt Road. A logical place to construct a school would be onto a major roadway so the traffic did not come into a neighborhood area.

Mr. Williams spoke regarding student allocations for Charter schools.

Discussion followed regarding the temporary location; the location of the subject site; the school located in Hillsborough County along U.S. 41; and it was not possible to take Morgan Road to Wilson Road because of the Class 1 wetland.

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** to continue the item to July 24, 2008, at 1:30 p.m. in Dade City.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

**REGULAR**

**DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES – DEVELOPMENT REVIEW**

- R1 **CONSENT – Class III, Wesley Chapel Outback Plaza (SDU05-120), Correction to DR07-918 from February 22, 2007 DRC – Outback Steakhouse, Inc. – Location: On the northeast corner of the Intersection of C.R. 581 and Aronwood Boulevard; Section 31, Twn 26 S, Rng 20 E  
Memorandum DR08-1437  
Recommendation: Approval with conditions**

This item was approved as a part of the Consent Agenda.

**ADDENDUM**

**High School “III” Comprehensive Plan Consistency Determination  
Memorandum GM08-357  
Recommendation: Approval with Conditions**

Ms. Cindy Jolly recommended the item be continued to June 26, 2008, at 1:30 p.m. in New Port Richey.

Mr. Chris Williams agreed with the continuance.

There was no public comment.

**MR. NURRENBROCK MOVED** to continue the item to June 26, 2008, at 1:30 p.m. in New Port Richey.

Chairman Gallagher called on the motion; the vote was unanimous and the motion carried.

**DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES**

- R2 **NOTED ITEM – Class I, Wachovia Bank Sunlake (IPR08-001), Preliminary/Construction Site Plan – Wachovia Bank – Location: On the northwest corner of S.R. 54 and Mentmore Boulevard, Sections 27 and 28, Twn 26 S, Rng 18 E  
Memorandum DR08-1391  
Recommendation: Not Applicable**

Information only.

**R3 NOTED ITEM – Class II, First Christian Church of Tarpon Springs, Phases 2 and 10 (IIPR08-024), Construction Plan and Stormwater Management Plan and Report – First Christian Church of Tarpon Springs, Florida, Inc. – Location: On the northeast corner of Little Road and Chittamwood Boulevard, approximately 1,000 feet north of Trinity Boulevard, Section 36, Twn 26 S, Rng 16 E  
Memorandum DR08-1405  
Recommendation: Not Applicable**

Information only.

**R4 NOTED ITEM – Class III, Bridge Haven, Construction Plan and Stormwater Management Plan and Report – McCar Homes – Tampa, LLC – Location: In Eastern Pasco County, on the southwest corner of the intersection of Chancey Road and Apfel Road, Section 24, Twn 26 S, Rng 20 E  
Memorandum DR08-1417  
Recommendation: Not Applicable**

Information only.

**ADJOURN**

The Committee adjourned at 3:32 p.m.

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE  
REGULAR MEETING  
JUNE 12, 2008

(SEAL)

Prepared By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Donalee Schmidt, Deputy Clerk