FIGURSKI & HARRILL ATTORNEYS AT LAW THE OAKS AT PERRINE RANCH 2550 PERMIT PLACE NEW PORT RICHEY, FLORIDA 34655 www.fhlaw.net

GERALD A. FIGURSKI, P.A. J. BEN HARRILL, P.A. TELEPHONE: (727) 942-0733 FAX: (727) 944-3711

June 6, 2008

Cissy Rosenberg Development Review Division 7530 Little Road, Suite 230 New Port Richey, FL 34654

RE: Banyan Senior Apartments

Dear Cissy:

You had earlier requested some additional information supporting our request for reduction of parking spaces from 2 spaces per unit to 1.5.

The Banyan Senior Apartment development is designed for seniors. The development will be restricted to residents age 55 and over with an expected median age of 72. Beneficial Communities' Senior Development concept is designed to promote interaction among its residents by providing spacious and inviting common areas and coordinated community activities. The development is designed to create a complete community for the residents that provides state of the art features for seniors in their own building.

Based on past experience in the development and management of senior housing facilities, Beneficial Communities can say that one parking space per unit plus an allowance of 10% for staff and visitors is more than sufficient to meet the parking needs of the residents. I note we are requesting a reduction only to 1.5 spaces.

As additional support of the above parking standards Beneficial Communities wishes to bring to your attention an independent industry research report for senior apartments shows an average peak parking demand of 0.404 spaces per unit. The research report includes residents, employees and visitors. I am enclosing a copy of this study which was a presentation to an annual meeting of the ITE.

RECEIVED

JUN 0 9 2008 PASCO COUNTY DIA/ILOPMENT REVIEW Cissy Rosenberg Development Review Division June 6, 2008 Page Two

I hope this is of aid. Please call with any questions.

Best regards, D. 0 GERALD A. FIGURSKI

GAF/mjp

Enclosures

cc: Tyler T. Kratchowill, Florida Investment Properties, LLC Dusan Peric, Beneficial Communities, LLC Matt Angerosa, PE

SENIOR HOUSING TRIP GENERATION AND PARKING DEMAND CHARACTERISTICS

by

Stephen B. Corcoran, P.E. (M)^a

presented at the Institute of Transportation Engineers 66th Annual Meeting

INTRODUCTION

As the baby boomer generation ages, special housing projects have been developed for them in lieu of the traditional single-family home or apartment. Congregate care facilities, independent living apartments, assisted-care units, and senior apartments are being marketed, developed, and built to handle the needs of older adults.

The changing lifestyle of older adults affects their transportation needs and usage as well. Trip generation and parking demand within this age group vary significantly from traditional residential uses because residents no longer have to be at work, pick up their children, or do their shopping at specific times. Also many senior communities provide on-site services to meet their residents' needs. This paper will present the author's experiences with senior housing and its trip and parking characteristics along with data on projects in suburban Chicago, Illinois and around the United States.

SENIOR HOUSING TYPES

Older adults have many special needs that change over time. Many seniors are clearly independent and need little assistance other than help with major chores or repairs. They are generally active and healthy. As time goes by, however, their needs change and grab bars become important, as well as, other features such as higher electrical outlets, emergency response systems, and lower reach cabinets. Good nutrition, socialization, and access to medical and supportive care also becomes more important. Several distinct types of housing have been developed to accommodate these needs:

Senior Single Family Homes are senior-only subdivisions which have been developed for retirees ages 55 and up in the southeast and southwest sections of the United States. These developments typically include recreational facilities. Many of the residents are retired.

Senior Apartments are traditional apartment complexes with a minimum age requirement of 55 years old. Some amenities include recreational facilities, security, and special design features. Residents are independent and may still be working.

Independent Living Units are cottages or apartments were older adults live independently but without the worries of maintenance or housekeeping. Medical care can be available at the facility or by visiting medical staff. A variety of amenities are provided for the residents depending on the size of the community.

^a Senior Transportation Consultant, Metro Transportation Group, Inc, Hanover Park, Illinois

Senior Housing Trip Generation and Parking Characteristics Institute of Transportation Engineers 66th Annual Meeting

Assisted-Care Units are for older adults having difficulty managing in an independent living arrangement but who do not need nursing home care. Assisted-care is usually apartment living with additional staff to help with normal daily activities.

Congregate Care Facilities contain a full spectrum of housing types in one development with town homes or cottages, independent living units, assisted-care units, and nursing care. Congregate Care Facilities (CCF) allow the elderly to age in one place with nursing care available if they need it. This is particularly important for elderly couples wishing to stay together with one spouse needing special care. CCFs are in essence self-contained communities. **Table 1** lists the amenities that are typically available at a CCF.

Table 1

Typical Congregate Care Facility On-Site Services and Facilities

Standard Services	Extra Services	Common Facilities					
 Main Meal of the Day 24-Hour Nursing Daily Check-In Weekly Laundry Utilities Housecleaning Organized Programs In Room Food Service Bus Shuttle 24-Hour Security Complete Maintenance Free Parking Garbage Collection Notary Public Service Supportive Care Nurse Chaplain 	 Breakfast and Lunch Extended Room Service Specialized Diets Guest Meals Catering Physician Podiatrist Physical/Speech Therapy Insurance Chauffeur Service Garages Telephone Cable TV Photocopying 	 Lounge Area Dining Room Library Chapel Recreation Room Country Store Pharmacy Arts and Crafts Room Workshop Cafe Exercise Room Beauty/Barber Shop Bank Branch Office Solarium Whirlpool Outside Patio Garden Plots 					
Source: Milwaukee, Wisconsin CCF Brochure							

LITERATURE REVIEW

A review was made of available data on senior trip generation and parking demands. Information was obtained from the Institute of Transportation Engineers <u>Trip and Parking Generation Manuals</u>, the author's files, data from other consultants, as well as, information from California, Arizona, and Florida Departments of Transportation. After reviewing the data, it became clear that the amount of data is small and that the definition of senior housing was not consistent among each source. The data did not distinguish between the five categories mentioned previously.

Senior Housing Trip Generation and Parking Characteristics Institute of Transportation Engineers 66th Annual Meeting

FACTORS AFFECTING TRIP GENERATION AND PARKING

Several factors affect the trip generation and parking demand at any particular facility. These include the number of dwelling units, nursing beds, average age of residents, resident's affluence, number of employees, and available bus shuttle/chauffeur service. More data needs to be collected in order to properly analyze their relationship to trip generation and parking demand. The trip generation rates for individual facilities varied. Insufficient information on all the survey locations made it difficult to statistically draw conclusions on individual impact of those factors.

However, experience has indicated that as the average age of residents increases, the number of trips and parking demand decreases. This is an obvious affect of the aging process. Nursing beds require more staff to service a patient needs than a more independent resident. When the proportion of nursing beds to residential units increases, the amount of traffic and parking generally increase. The economic well being of residents increases the likelihood that they own a car and thus drive and park. Lastly, bus shuttle/chauffeur service will provide an option to the auto for residents keeping traffic and parking rates lower.

DAILY TRAFFIC GENERATION

Information on daily trip ends was obtained from surveys by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and the Florida and Arizona Departments of Transportation. This data generally categorized the facilities as retirement communities but included CCFs, senior apartment complexes, and may have nursing beds. The author's data consisted of one CCF in Pennsylvania. **Table 2** summarizes the trip data and rates. The average trip rate daily varied between 2.78 and 8.91 trips per unit. The variation in rates supports the conclusion that the number of units/beds is not the only variable influencing trip production. The weighted average trip ends were 4.52 trips per unit which included one large development of 3,122 units. Without the 3,122 unit project, the weighted average rate was 5.64 trips per units.

The weighted daily trip generation rate, was 5.64 trip ends a day for senior housing developments. Senior housing generates two-thirds the amount of traffic compared to a typical single-family development. It's closer to other multi-family categories, including apartments (6.47 trips/unit) and condominiums or townhouses (5.86 trips/units). **Table 3** shows the weekly variation in volumes based on one facility. The weekday volumes were consistent. Weekend traffic volumes were slightly lower.

Table 4 illustrates the hourly distribution of traffic throughout an average weekday, Saturday, and Sunday. The peak-hour volumes of the facility occurred at lunch time and mid-afternoon (2:00 to 4:00 PM). Caltrans data indicated that the peak-hour occurred between 11:00 AM and 4:00 PM, depending on the facility. These peak-hour times do not coincide with the peak-hour of adjacent street traffic because the residents do not have or want to travel during the rush hour. Also, the employee shifts are generally off peak. Most facilities are staffed 24 hours a day with a 7:00 AM-3:00 PM, 3:00 PM -11:00 PM, 11:00 PM-7:00 AM shift schedule. Some administrative staff follow a typical 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM shift.

PEAK-HOUR TRIP GENERATION RATES

Table 5 shows the trip generation rates for eight facilities during the morning and evening peak-hour of the adjacent street system. The weighted average trip rate was 0.222 trips per unit/bed in the morning peak and 0.247 trips per unit/bed in the evening peak. Trip rates ranged from 0.085 to 0.450 per unit. The directional splits were 65% inbound and 35% outbound in the morning and 40% inbound and 60% outbound in the evening. Compared to other residential land-uses, senior developments generate significantly less traffic on a per unit basis.

Senior Housing Trip Generation and Parking Characteristics Institute of Transportation Engineers 66th Annual Meeting

Table 2

Daily Trip Generation Rates for Senior Housing

į,

Source	Number of Dwelling Units	Daily Trips	Trip Rates
Source	Dwennig Onits	mps	Rates
Caltrans	3122	9630	3.09
	300	830	2.78
	108	310	2.87
	76	260	3.42
	460	2252	4.90
Florida	366	3262	8.91
DOT	560	1985	3.55
	187	1449	7.75
	120	901	7.51
	127	561	4.42
Arizona	125	972	7.78
DOT	176	855	4.86
	74	447	6.04
	60	285	4.75
	216	1386	6.42
	175	1058	6.05
	129	941	7.30
	112	922	8.23
	106	820	7.74
	89	538	6.05
	81	529	6.53
	60	494	8.23
	59	432	7.30
Penn. CCF	247	1163	4.71
Weighted	2	5	
Average	7135	32282	4.52
		•	
Without	4013	22652	5.64
3,122 units	i.		
and the second sec	1		

ITE Average Weekday Daily Rates

Single-Family (Code 210) Apartment (Code 220) Condo/townhouse (Code 230) Congregate Care Facility (Code 251)

Table 3

Weekly Volume Distribution

ily	Trip	Day of th	e Week	Percentag	e
ps	Rates	Monday		15%	
		Tuesday		15%	
30	3.09	Wednesd	Wednesday		
0	2.78	Thursday	/	17%	
0	2.87	Friday		15%	
50	3.42	Saturday	1	12%	
52	4.90	Sunday		10%	
62	8.91				
85	3.55	Total		100%	
49	7.75				
01	7.51	÷ .		2 · · · 2	
51	4.42	Table 4			
72	7.78	Hourly Tra	ffic Distribut	ion	
55	4.86	Start	Average		,
47	6.04	Hour	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday
85	4.75	12:00 AM	1.46%	1.45%	2.76%
86	6.42	1:00 AM	0.07%	0.12%	0.26%
58	6.05	2:00 AM	0%	0.00%	0.26%
41	7.30	3:00 AM	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%
22	8.23	4:00 AM	0.46%	0.00%	0.66%
20	7.74	5:00 AM	0.41%	0.60%	0.39%
38	6.05	6:00 AM	1.94%	2.05%	1.71%
29	6.53	7:00 AM	5.74%	5.06%	3.94%
94	8.23	8:00 AM	6.70%	5.06%	4.99%
32	7.30	9:00 AM	6.19%	5.78%	6.17%
63	4.71	10:00 AM	7.20%	9.40%	7.74%
		11:00 AM	9.33%	9.04%	8.53%
282	4.52	12:00 PM	7.05%	8.07%	8.01%
202	4.02	1:00 PM	7.44%	6.27%	4.86%
652	5.64	2:00 PM	9.76%	7.59%	8.40%
004	0.04	3:00 PM	9.54%	10.24%	9.84%
		4:00 PM	8.39%	9.40%	9.32%
		5:00 PM	5.26%	6.14%	6.96%
		6:00 PM	3.14%	3.25%	3.54%
	9.55	7:00 PM	2.90%	2.89%	4.20%
	6.47	8:00 PM	2.59%	2.05%	2.49%
	5.86	9:00 PM	1.10%	1.57%	1.31%
	2.15	10:00 PM		1.33%	1.05%
	2.10	11:00 PM		2.65%	2.62%
		11.0011			
		_			
arking	character	istics			
	nnual Meeti				Page 4

Senior Housing Trip Generation and Parking Characteristics Institute of Transportation Engineers 66th Annual Meeting

Table 5

Peak-Hour Trip Generation Rates

Ker.

		Dwelling	Nursing		AM Peak		PM Pea	k
Facility	Location	Units	Beds	Total	Volume	Rate	Volume	
Covenant Village	Northbrook, IL	220	151	371	86	.231	133	
Friendship Village	Lombard, IL	620	100	720	86	.120	180	
Presbyterian Home	Evanston, IL	312	166	478	92	.193	139	
Glenview Terrace	Glenview, IL	243		243			21	
Good Shephard Manor	Barrington, IL	102		102	18	.180	17	
Mayslake	Oakbrook, IL	630		630	67	.106	75	
Leisure Village	New Jersey	200		200	65	.325	62	
Pennsylvania CCF		210	37	247	78	.316	111	
	Totals	2537	454	2991	492		738	
	х У	Waightad A	vorago Trip E	Pato		164	.247	
	1	Weighted Average Trip Rate		· . · ·	104	.241		
	Inbound Percentage 65%		65%		40%			
	2		(Outbound Percentage 35%			60%	
	· .		,	Jubbunu	ercentage	5570		0070
Comparison to other I	TE Posidontial P	atos						
					0.74			1.01
Single Family Homes (Land Use Code 26) Apartments (Land Use Code 220)							0.63	
Condominiums/Townho	uses (Land Use C	ode 230)			0.44	+ .		0.55

Senior Housing Trip Generation and Parking Characteristics Institute of Transportation Engineers 66th Annual Meeting

PARKING DEMAND SURVEYS

Parking demand characteristics were obtained from a number of surveys conducted in the Chicago metropolitan area The peak parking demand occurred during the mid-day between 11:00 AM to 3:00 PM corresponding, in part, with the largest employee shift on-site. **Table 6** summarizes those surveys. The peak day of the year is Mother's Day when many facilities run out of visitor parking, according to the on-site staff.

The peak parking demand rates varied between 0.214 and 0.579 vehicles per unit/bed with a weighted average rate of 0.404 vehicles per unit/bed. Employee, resident, and visitor parking is included. This rate is one third to one half the parking rate of other residential uses. Readers should note that the survey sites with the higher parking rates generally have more nursing beds which requires more employees than the residential units.

Table 6

Peak Parking Demand Surveys

Development	Location	Dwelling Units	Nursing Beds	g Total Units/Beds	Peak Parking Rate	Peak Parking Demand
Covenant Village Beacon Hill Friendship Village Presbyterian Home Glenview Terrace Mayslake	Northbrook, IL Lombard. IL Schaumburg, IL Evanston, IL Glenview, IL Oakbrook, IL	220 235 620 312 243 630	151 23 100 166	371 258 720 478 243 630	0.490 0.565 0.390 0.579 0.214 0.408	182 146 281 277 52 257
<u>EJM Engineering Studies</u> Lilac Lodge Deerfield Place	Waukegan, IL Deerfield. IL	203 98		203 98	0.315 0.230	64 23
ITE Parking Manual, 2nd Ed Retirement Community (Land Use Code 250)		500		500	0.270	135
	,	3061 Weighted	440 Average	3501	0.404	1417
ITE Parking Manual, 2nd Edition Low/Mid-Rise Apartments (Land Use Code 221) High-Rise Apartments (Land Use Code 222) Residential Condominium (Land Use Code 230)					1.21 0.88 1.11	

Senior Housing Trip Generation and Parking Characteristics Institute of Transportation Engineers 66th Annual Meeting

Conclusions

Based on the analyses and studies for this paper, the following findings were made:

1. The overall category of senior housing should be broken down into at least five categories for trip generation and parking demand purposes. These categories could be:

- Senior Single-Family Housing
- Senior Apartments
- Independent Living Units
- Assisted-Care Units
- Congregate Care Facility

2. Several factors affect the trip generation and parking demand at any particular facility. Any new survey should include the number of dwelling units, nursing beds, average age of residents, resident's affluence, number of employees, and available bus shuttle/chauffeur service. More data needs to be collected in order to properly analyze their relationship to trip generation and parking demand.

3. Daily trip generation rates were found to be 4.52 to 5.64 trip ends a day for senior housing developments. Senior housing generates two-thirds the amount of traffic compared to a typical single-family development. It's daily rates are similar to other multi-family categories, including apartments (6.47 trips/unit) and condominiums/townhouses (5.86 trips/units).

4. Trip generation rates during the peak hour of adjacent street traffic are significantly less because most employees arrive/depart during off-peak periods and residents avoid the peak-hour congestion. The peak hour rates are one-half to one-fourth that of other residential land-uses.

5. The peak-hours of site traffic occurs in the late-morning or early afternoon.

6. The peak parking demand at most senior facilities occurred midday with an average peak demand of 0.40 vehicles per dwelling unit for residents, employees, and visitors. Mother's Day is the highest parking day of the year with many facilities short of spaces for that one day.

References

- 1. Trip Generation Manual, 5th Edition; Institute of Transportation Engineers; January, 1991
- 2. Parking Generation Manual, 2nd Edition; Institute of Transportation Engineers; August, 1987
- 3. Parking Requirements for Retirement Centers Requirements and Demands; EJM Engineering; May, 1987
- 4. <u>6th Progress Report of Trip Ends Generation Research Counts;</u> California Department of Transportation; 1965-1970
- 5. Florida Department of Transportation Trip Generation Data
- 6. Arizona Department of Transportation Trip Generation Data

Senior Housing Trip Generation and Parking Characteristics Institute of Transportation Engineers 66th Annual Meeting

E.